

Introduction to plm

Yves Croissant & Giovanni Millo

December 12, 2007

1 Introduction

The aim of package `plm` is to provide an easy way to estimate panel models. Some panel models may be estimated with package `nlme` (*non-linear mixed effect models*), but not in an intuitive way for an econometrician. `plm` provides methods to read panel data, to estimate a wide range of models and to make some tests. This library is loaded using :

```
> library(plm)
```

This document illustrates the features of `plm`, using data available in package `Ecdat`.

```
> library(Ecdat)
```

These data are used in BALTAGI (2001).

2 Model estimation

`plm` provides four functions for estimation :

- `plm` : estimation of the basic panel models, *i.e.* within, between and random effect models. Models are estimated using the `lm` function to transformed data,
- `pvcmm` : estimation of models with variable coefficients,
- `pgmm` : estimation of general method of moments models,
- `pggls` : estimation of general feasible generalized least squares models.

All these functions share the same 4 first arguments :

- `formula` : the symbolic description of the model to be estimated,
- `data` : a `data.frame`,
- `effect` : the kind of effects to include in the model, *i.e.* individual effects, time effects or both,
- `model` : the kind of model to be estimated, most of the time a model with fixed effects or a model with random effects,

- `indexes` : the indexes.
- `NULL` (the default value), it is then assumed that the first two columns contain the individual and the time index,
- a character string, which should be the name of the individual index,
- a character vector of length two containing the names of the individual and the time index,
- an integer which is the number of individuals (only in case of balanced panel with observations sorted by individual).

The `plm.data` function is then called, which returns a `data.frame` with the two first columns containing the individual and the time indexes.

The results of this four functions are stored in an object which class has the same name of the function. They all inherit from class `panelmodel`. A `panelmodel` object contains : `coefficients`, `residuals`, `fitted.values`, `vcov`, `df.residual` and `call`.

Functions that extract these elements and to print the object are provided.

2.1 Estimation of the basic models with `plm`

There are two ways to use `plm` : the first one is to estimate a list of models (the default behavior), the second to estimate just one model. In the first case, the estimated models are :

- the fixed effects model (`within`),
- the pooling model (`pooling`),
- the between model (`between`),
- the error components model (`random`).

The basic use of `plm` is to indicate the model formula, the `data.frame` and the name of the model to be estimated ¹ :

```
> data("Produc", package = "Ecdat")
> zzwith <- plm(log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + log(emp) + unemp,
+             data = Produc)
```

A particular model to be estimated may also be indicated by filling the `model` argument of `plm`.

```
> zzra <- plm(log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + log(emp) + unemp,
+            data = Produc, model = "random")
> print(zzra)
```

¹The following example is from BALTAGI (2001), pp. 25–28.

Model Formula: $\log(\text{gsp}) \sim \log(\text{pcap}) + \log(\text{pc}) + \log(\text{emp}) + \text{unemp}$

Coefficients:

(intercept)	log(pcap)	log(pc)	log(emp)	unemp
2.1354110	0.0044386	0.3105484	0.7296705	-0.0061725

summary and print.summary methods are provided.

```
> summary(zzwith)
```

Oneway (individual) effect Within Model

Call:

```
plm(formula = log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + log(emp) + unemp,  
     data = Produc)
```

Balanced Panel: n=48, T=17, N=816

Residuals :

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	3rd Qu.	Max.
-0.12000	-0.02370	-0.00204	0.01810	0.17500

Coefficients :

	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	Pr(> t)
log(pcap)	-0.02614965	0.02900158	-0.9017	0.3672
log(pc)	0.29200693	0.02511967	11.6246	< 2.2e-16 ***
log(emp)	0.76815947	0.03009174	25.5273	< 2.2e-16 ***
unemp	-0.00529774	0.00098873	-5.3582	8.408e-08 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Total Sum of Squares: 18.941

Residual Sum of Squares: 1.1112

Multiple R-Squared: 0.94134

F-statistic: 3064.81 on 764 and 4 DF, p-value: 2.1339e-07

```
> summary(zzra)
```

Oneway (individual) effect Random Effect Model (Swamy-Arora's transformation)

Call:

```
plm(formula = log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + log(emp) + unemp,  
     data = Produc, model = "random")
```

Balanced Panel: n=48, T=17, N=816

Effects:

	var	std.dev	share
idiosyncratic	0.0014544	0.0381371	0.1754
individual	0.0068377	0.0826905	0.8246
theta:	0.88884		

```

Residuals :
      Min.   1st Qu.   Median   3rd Qu.    Max.
-0.10700 -0.02460 -0.00237  0.02170  0.20000

Coefficients :
              Estimate Std. Error t-value Pr(>|t|)
(intercept)  2.13541100  0.13346149 16.0002 < 2.2e-16 ***
log(pcap)    0.00443859  0.02341732  0.1895  0.8497
log(pc)      0.31054843  0.01980475 15.6805 < 2.2e-16 ***
log(emp)     0.72967053  0.02492022 29.2803 < 2.2e-16 ***
unemp       -0.00617247  0.00090728 -6.8033 1.023e-11 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Total Sum of Squares: 29.209
Residual Sum of Squares: 1.1879
Multiple R-Squared: 0.95933
F-statistic: 4782.77 on 811 and 4 DF, p-value: 8.7623e-08

```

For a random model, the `summary` method gives information about the variance of the components of the errors.

plm objects can be updated using the `update` method :

```

> zzwithmod <- update(zzwith, . ~ . - unemp - log(emp) + emp)
> summary(zzwithmod)

```

Oneway (individual) effect Within Model

Call:

```
plm(formula = log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + emp, data = Produc)
```

Balanced Panel: n=48, T=17, N=816

```

Residuals :
      Min.   1st Qu.   Median   3rd Qu.    Max.
-0.194000 -0.037400  0.000373  0.035700  0.274000

```

```

Coefficients :
              Estimate Std. Error t-value Pr(>|t|)
log(pcap) 1.7888e-01 4.0690e-02  4.3961 1.102e-05 ***
log(pc)   6.9975e-01 2.9154e-02 24.0019 < 2.2e-16 ***
emp       3.7909e-05 8.7824e-06  4.3165 1.585e-05 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

```

Total Sum of Squares: 18.941
Residual Sum of Squares: 2.7948
Multiple R-Squared: 0.85245
F-statistic: 1473.23 on 765 and 3 DF, p-value: 2.4449e-05

```

Fixed effects may be extracted easily from a `plm` object using `fixef` :

```
> fixef(zzwithmod)[1:10]
```

ALABAMA	ARIZONA	ARKANSAS	CALIFORNIA	COLORADO	CONNECTICUT
1.171753	1.306239	1.187700	1.619198	1.458215	1.706034
DELAWARE	FLORIDA	GEORGIA	IDAHO		
1.203575	1.556497	1.446017	1.100205		

The `fixef` function returns an object of class `fixef`. A summary method is provided, which prints the effects (in deviation from the overall intercept), their standard errors and the test of equality to the overall intercept.

```
> summary(fixef(zzwithmod))[1:10, ]
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	Pr(> t)
ALABAMA	-0.15044698	0.2209036	-0.68105273	0.49583813
ARIZONA	-0.01596112	0.2180845	-0.07318777	0.94165670
ARKANSAS	-0.13449962	0.2071487	-0.64929021	0.51615081
CALIFORNIA	0.29699815	0.2526566	1.17550143	0.23979417
COLORADO	0.13601482	0.2174556	0.62548324	0.53165395
CONNECTICUT	0.38383408	0.2222083	1.72736143	0.08410277
DELAWARE	-0.11862549	0.1950720	-0.60811143	0.54311357
FLORIDA	0.23429687	0.2339542	1.00146486	0.31660212
GEORGIA	0.12381708	0.2261564	0.54748435	0.58404602
IDAHO	-0.22199517	0.1910248	-1.16212725	0.24518378

2.2 More advanced use of `plm`

2.2.1 Options for the random effect model

The random effect model is obtained as a linear estimation on quasi-differentiated data. The parameter of this transformation is obtained using preliminary estimations. Four estimators of this parameter are available, depending on the value of the argument `random.method` :

- `swar` : from SWAMY and ARORA (1972), the default value,
- `walhus` : from WALLACE and HUSSAIN (1969),
- `amemiya` : from AMEMIYA (1971),
- `nerlove` : from NERLOVE (1971).

For exemple, to use the `amemiya` estimator :

```
> zzra <- plm(log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + log(emp) + unemp,
+ data = Produc, model = "random", random.method = "amemiya")
```

2.2.2 Choosing the effects

The default behavior of `plm` is to introduce individual effects. Using the `effect` argument, one may also introduce :

- time effects (`effect="time"`),
- individual and time effects (`effect="twoways"`).

For example, to estimate a two-ways effect model for the Grunfeld data :

```
> data("Grunfeld", package = "Ecdat")
> z <- plm(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "random",
+   effect = "twoways", random.method = "amemiya")
> summary(z)
```

Twoways effects Random Effect Model (Amemiya's transformation)

Call:

```
plm(formula = inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, effect = "twoways",
    model = "random", random.method = "amemiya")
```

Balanced Panel: n=10, T=20, N=200

Effects:

	var	std.dev	share
idiosyncratic	2644.135	51.421	0.2359
individual	8294.716	91.075	0.7400
time	270.529	16.448	0.0241
theta	: 0.87475 (id)	0.29695 (time)	0.29595 (total)

Residuals :

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	3rd Qu.	Max.
-176.00	-18.00	3.02	18.00	233.00

Coefficients :

	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	Pr(> t)
(intercept)	-64.351811	31.183651	-2.0636	0.03905 *
value	0.111593	0.011028	10.1192	< 2e-16 ***
capital	0.324625	0.018850	17.2214	< 2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Total Sum of Squares: 2038000

Residual Sum of Squares: 514120

Multiple R-Squared: 0.74774

F-statistic: 291.965 on 197 and 2 DF, p-value: 0.0034191

In the “effects” section of the result is printed now the variance of the three elements of the error term and the three parameters used in the transformation.

The two-ways effect model is for the moment only available for balanced panels.

2.2.3 Hausman-Taylor's model

HAUSMAN-TAYLOR's model may be estimated with `plm` by equating the `model` argument to `"ht"` and filling the second argument `instruments` with a formula indicating the variables used as instruments.

```
> data("Wages", package = "Ecdat")
> Wages <- plm.data(Wages, 595)
> form <- lwage ~ wks + south + smsa + married + exp + I(exp^2) +
+   bluecol + ind + union + sex + black + ed | sex + black +
+   bluecol + south + smsa + ind
> ht <- plm(form, data = Wages, model = "ht")
> summary(ht)
```

Oneway (individual) effect Hausman-Taylor Model

Call:

```
plm(formula = lwage ~ wks + south + smsa + married + exp + I(exp^2) +
     bluecol + ind + union + sex + black + ed, data = Wages, model = "ht",
     instruments = ~sex + black + bluecol + south + smsa + ind)
```

Instrumental Variables:

```
~sex + black + bluecol + south + smsa + ind
```

Time--Varying Variables: exo (bluecolyes,southyes,smsayes,ind) endo (wks,marriedyes,exp,I(

Time--Invariant Variables: exo (sexmale,blackyes) endo (ed)

Balanced Panel: n=595, T=7, N=4165

Effects:

	var	std.dev	share
idiosyncratic	0.023044	0.151803	0.0253
individual	0.886993	0.941803	0.9747
theta:	0.93919		

Residuals :

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	3rd Qu.	Max.
-1.92000	-0.07070	0.00657	0.07970	2.03000

Coefficients :

	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	Pr(> t)
(intercept)	2.7818e+00	3.0765e-01	9.0422	< 2.2e-16 ***
wks	8.3740e-04	5.9973e-04	1.3963	0.16263
southyes	7.4398e-03	3.1955e-02	0.2328	0.81590
smsayes	-4.1833e-02	1.8958e-02	-2.2066	0.02734 *
marriedyes	-2.9851e-02	1.8980e-02	-1.5728	0.11578
exp	1.1313e-01	2.4710e-03	45.7851	< 2.2e-16 ***
I(exp^2)	-4.1886e-04	5.4598e-05	-7.6718	1.688e-14 ***
bluecolyes	-2.0705e-02	1.3781e-02	-1.5024	0.13299
ind	1.3604e-02	1.5237e-02	0.8928	0.37196
unionyes	3.2771e-02	1.4908e-02	2.1982	0.02794 *
sexmale	1.3092e-01	1.2666e-01	1.0337	0.30129

```

blackyes    -2.8575e-01  1.5570e-01 -1.8352   0.06647 .
ed          1.3794e-01  2.1248e-02  6.4919  8.474e-11 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Total Sum of Squares: 243.04
Residual Sum of Squares: 95.947
Multiple R-Squared: 0.60522
F-statistic: 489.524 on 4151 and 13 DF, p-value: 3.3307e-16

```

2.2.4 Instrumental variables estimation

One or all of the models may be estimated using instrumental variables. The instruments are specified whether as a one side formula in the argument `instruments`, or at the end of the formula after a `|` sign. The following four commands are similar :

We illustrate instrumental variables estimation with the `Crime` data². The `prbarr` and `polpc` variables are assumed to be endogenous and there are two external instruments `taxpc` and `mix` :

```

> data("Crime", package = "Ecdat")
> form <- log(crmrte) ~ log(prbarr) + log(polpc) + log(prbconv) +
+   log(prbpris) + log(avgsen) + log(density) + log(wcon) + log(wtuc) +
+   log(wtrd) + log(wfir) + log(wser) + log(wmfg) + log(wfed) +
+   log(wsta) + log(wloc) + log(pctymle) + log(pctmin) + region +
+   smsa + year
> inst <- ~. - log(prbarr) - log(polpc) + log(taxpc) + log(mix)
> cr <- plm(form, data = Crime, model = "random", instruments = inst,
+   pvar = TRUE)
> form2 <- log(crmrte) ~ log(prbarr) + log(polpc) + log(prbconv) +
+   log(prbpris) + log(avgsen) + log(density) + log(wcon) + log(wtuc) +
+   log(wtrd) + log(wfir) + log(wser) + log(wmfg) + log(wfed) +
+   log(wsta) + log(wloc) + log(pctymle) + log(pctmin) + region +
+   smsa + year | . - log(prbarr) - log(polpc) + log(taxpc) +
+   log(mix)
> cr1 <- plm(form, data = Crime, model = "random", instruments = inst,
+   pvar = TRUE)
> cr2 <- plm(form2, data = Crime, model = "random", pvar = TRUE)
> summary(cr2)

```

Oneway (individual) effect Random Effect Model (Swamy-Arora's transformation)
Instrumental variable estimation (Balestra-Varadharajan-Krishnakumar's transformation)

Call:

```

plm(formula = log(crmrte) ~ log(prbarr) + log(polpc) + log(prbconv) +
  log(prbpris) + log(avgsen) + log(density) + log(wcon) + log(wtuc) +
  log(wtrd) + log(wfir) + log(wser) + log(wmfg) + log(wfed) +
  log(wsta) + log(wloc) + log(pctymle) + log(pctmin) + region +
  smsa + year, data = Crime, model = "random", pvar = TRUE,

```

²See BALTAGI (2001), pp.119–120.


```

instruments = ~. - log(prbarr) - log(polpc) + log(taxpc) +
              log(mix))
Instrumental Variables:
~log(prbconv) + log(prbpris) + log(avgsen) + log(density) + log(wcon) + log(wtuc) +
  log(wtrd) + log(wfir) + log(wser) + log(wmfg) + log(wfed) + log(wsta) + log(wloc) +
  log(pctymle) + log(pctmin) + region + smsa + year + log(taxpc) + log(mix)

Balanced Panel: n=90, T=7, N=630

Effects:
              var  std.dev share
idiosyncratic 0.022269 0.149228 0.326
individual    0.046036 0.214561 0.674
theta: 0.74576

Residuals :
      Min. 1st Qu.  Median 3rd Qu.    Max.
-5.0200 -0.4760   0.0273   0.5260   3.1900

Coefficients :
              Estimate Std. Error t-value Pr(>|t|)
(intercept)  -0.4538241   1.7029840 -0.2665  0.789864
log(prbarr)  -0.4141200   0.2210540 -1.8734  0.061015 .
log(polpc)    0.5049285   0.2277811  2.2167  0.026642 *
log(prbconv) -0.3432383   0.1324679 -2.5911  0.009567 **
log(prbpris) -0.1900437   0.0733420 -2.5912  0.009564 **
log(avgsen)  -0.0064374   0.0289406 -0.2224  0.823977
log(density)  0.4343519   0.0711528  6.1045 1.031e-09 ***
log(wcon)    -0.0042963   0.0414225 -0.1037  0.917392
log(wtuc)     0.0444572   0.0215449  2.0635  0.039068 *
log(wtrd)    -0.0085626   0.0419822 -0.2040  0.838387
log(wfir)    -0.0040302   0.0294565 -0.1368  0.891175
log(wser)     0.0105604   0.0215822  0.4893  0.624620
log(wmfg)    -0.2017917   0.0839423 -2.4039  0.016220 *
log(wfed)    -0.2134634   0.2151074 -0.9924  0.321023
log(wsta)    -0.0601083   0.1203146 -0.4996  0.617362
log(wloc)     0.1835137   0.1396721  1.3139  0.188884
log(pctymle) -0.1458448   0.2268137 -0.6430  0.520214
log(pctmin)   0.1948760   0.0459409  4.2419 2.217e-05 ***
regionwest   -0.2281780   0.1010317 -2.2585  0.023916 *
regioncentral -0.1987675   0.0607510 -3.2718  0.001068 **
smsayes      -0.2595423   0.1499780 -1.7305  0.083535 .
year82        0.0132140   0.0299923  0.4406  0.659518
year83       -0.0847676   0.0320008 -2.6489  0.008075 **
year84       -0.1062004   0.0387893 -2.7379  0.006184 **
year85       -0.0977398   0.0511685 -1.9102  0.056113 .
year86       -0.0719390   0.0605821 -1.1875  0.235045
year87       -0.0396520   0.0758537 -0.5227  0.601153
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

Total Sum of Squares: 1354.7
 Residual Sum of Squares: 557.64
 Multiple R-Squared: 0.58836
 F-statistic: 33.1494 on 603 and 26 DF, p-value: 7.7716e-16

The instrumental variables estimator used may be indicated with the `inst.method` argument:

- `bvk`, from BALESTRA AND VARADHARAJAN (1987), the default value,
- `baltagi`, from BALTAGI (1981).

2.2.5 Unbalanced panel

`plm` enables the estimation of unbalanced panel data, with a few restrictions (two ways effects models are not supported and the only transformation for random effects models is `swar`).

The following example is based on the Hedonic data³:

```
> data("Hedonic", package = "Ecdat")
> form <- mv ~ crim + zn + indus + chas + nox + rm + age + dis +
+       rad + tax + ptratio + blacks + lstat
> ba <- plm(form, model = "random", data = Hedonic, index = "townid")
> summary(ba)
```

Oneway (individual) effect Random Effect Model (Swamy-Arora's transformation)

Call:

```
plm(formula = mv ~ crim + zn + indus + chas + nox + rm + age +
      dis + rad + tax + ptratio + blacks + lstat, data = Hedonic,
      model = "random", index = "townid")
```

Unbalanced Panel: n=92, T=1-30, N=506

Effects:

	var	std.dev	share
idiosyncratic	0.016965	0.130249	0.502
individual	0.016832	0.129738	0.498

theta :

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0.2915	0.5904	0.6655	0.6499	0.7447	0.8197

Residuals :

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
-0.641000	-0.066100	-0.000519	-0.001990	0.069800	0.527000

Coefficients :

	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	Pr(> t)
(intercept)	9.6778e+00	2.0714e-01	46.7207	< 2.2e-16 ***

³See BALTAGI (2001), p. 174.

```

crim      -7.2338e-03  1.0346e-03  -6.9921  2.707e-12 ***
zn        3.9575e-05  6.8778e-04   0.0575  0.9541153
indus     2.0794e-03  4.3403e-03   0.4791  0.6318706
chasyes   -1.0591e-02  2.8960e-02  -0.3657  0.7145720
nox       -5.8630e-03  1.2455e-03  -4.7074  2.509e-06 ***
rm        9.1773e-03  1.1792e-03   7.7828  7.105e-15 ***
age       -9.2715e-04  4.6468e-04  -1.9952  0.0460159 *
dis       -1.3288e-01  4.5683e-02  -2.9088  0.0036279 **
rad       9.6863e-02  2.8350e-02   3.4168  0.0006337 ***
tax       -3.7472e-04  1.8902e-04  -1.9824  0.0474298 *
ptratio   -2.9723e-02  9.7538e-03  -3.0473  0.0023089 **
blacks    5.7506e-01  1.0103e-01   5.6920  1.256e-08 ***
lstat     -2.8514e-01  2.3855e-02 -11.9533 < 2.2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

```

Total Sum of Squares: 893.08
Residual Sum of Squares: 8.6843
Multiple R-Squared: 0.99028
F-statistic: 3854.18 on 492 and 13 DF, p-value: 0

```

2.3 Variable coefficients model

The `pvcn` function enables the estimation of variable coefficients models. Time or individual effects are introduced if `effect` is fixed to "time" or "individual" (the default value).

Coefficients are assumed to be fixed if `model="within"` and random if `model="random"`. In the first case, a different model is estimated for each individual (or time period). In the second case, the SWAMY (1970) model is estimated. It is a generalized least squares model which use the result of the previous model.

With the Grunfeld data, we get :

```

> znp <- pvcn(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "within")
> znp

```

Model Formula: `inv ~ value + capital`

```

Coefficients:
(Intercept)      value      capital
1  -149.78245  0.1192808  0.3714448
2   -49.19832  0.1748560  0.3896419
3   -9.95631  0.0265512  0.1516939
4   -6.18996  0.0779478  0.3157182
5   22.70712  0.1623777  0.0031017
6   -8.68554  0.1314548  0.0853743
7   -4.49953  0.0875272  0.1237814
8   -0.50939  0.0528941  0.0924065
9   -7.72284  0.0753879  0.0821036
10    0.16152  0.0045734  0.4373692

```

```

> summary(znp)

```

Oneway (individual) effect No-pooling model

Call:

```
pvcmm(formula = inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "within")
```

Balanced Panel: n=10, T=20, N=200

Residuals:

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
	-1.845e+02	-7.118e+00	-3.926e-01	3.438e-16	5.703e+00	1.440e+02

Coefficients:

(Intercept)	value	capital
Min. :-149.782	Min. :0.004573	Min. :0.003102
1st Qu.: -9.639	1st Qu.:0.058518	1st Qu.:0.087132
Median : -6.956	Median :0.082738	Median :0.137738
Mean : -21.368	Mean :0.091285	Mean :0.205264
3rd Qu.: -1.507	3rd Qu.:0.128411	3rd Qu.:0.357513
Max. : 22.707	Max. :0.174856	Max. :0.437369

Total Sum of Squares: 9359900

Residual Sum of Squares: 324730

Multiple R-Squared: 0.96531

```
> form <- inv ~ value + capital
> sw <- plm(form, data = Grunfeld, model = "random")
> summary(sw)
```

Oneway (individual) effect Random Effect Model (Swamy-Arora's transformation)

Call:

```
plm(formula = inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "random")
```

Balanced Panel: n=10, T=20, N=200

Effects:

	var	std.dev	share
idiosyncratic	2784.458	52.768	0.282
individual	7089.800	84.201	0.718
theta:	0.86122		

Residuals :

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	3rd Qu.	Max.
	-178.00	-19.70	4.69	19.50	253.00

Coefficients :

	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	Pr(> t)
(intercept)	-57.834415	28.898935	-2.0013	0.04536 *
value	0.109781	0.010493	10.4627	< 2e-16 ***
capital	0.308113	0.017180	17.9339	< 2e-16 ***

```

---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Total Sum of Squares: 2381400
Residual Sum of Squares: 548900
Multiple R-Squared: 0.7695
F-statistic: 328.837 on 197 and 2 DF, p-value: 0.0030364

```

2.4 General method of moments estimator

The general method of moments is provided by the `pgmm` function. It's main argument is a `dynformula` which describe the variables of the model and the lag structure.

The effect argument is either `NULL`, `"individual"` (the default), or `"twoways"`. In the first case, the model is estimated in levels. In the second case, the model is estimated in first differences to get rid of the individuals effects. In the last case, the model is estimated in first differences and time dummies are included.

In a gmm estimation, there are “normal” instruments and “gmm” instruments. gmm instruments are indicated with the `gmm.inst` argument (a one side formula) and the lags by with the `lag.gmm` argument. By default, all the variables of the model that are not used as gmm instruments are used as normal instruments, with the same lag structure.

The complete list of instruments can also be specified with the argument `instruments` which should be a one side formula (or `dynformula`).

The `model` argument specifies whether a one-step or a two-steps model is required (`"onestep"` or `"twosteps"`).

The following example is from ARELLANO (2003). Employment in different firms is explained by past values of employment and wages (two lags). All available lags are used up to $t - 2$.

```

> data("Snmesp", package = "plm")
> z <- pgmm(dynformula(n ~ w, lag = list(c(1, 2), c(1, 2))), effect = "twoways",
+   model = "twosteps", Snmesp, gmm.inst = ~n + w, lag.gmm = c(2,
+   99), transformation = c("d"))
> summary(z)

```

Twoways effects Two steps model

Call:

```

pgmm(formula = dynformula(n ~ w, lag = list(c(1, 2), c(1, 2))),
      data = Snmesp, effect = "twoways", model = "twosteps", gmm.inst = ~n +
      w, lag.gmm = c(2, 99), transformation = c("d"))

```

Balanced Panel: n=738, T=8, N=5904

Number of Observations Used: 3690

Residuals

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
	-1.5390000	-0.0511100	0.0010240	0.0001746	0.0549800	1.2780000

Coefficients

	Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	Pr(> z)
lag(n, 1)	0.8415278	0.0883895	9.5207	< 2e-16 ***
lag(n, 2)	-0.0031454	0.0290445	-0.1083	0.91376
lag(w, 1)	0.0779827	0.0836384	0.9324	0.35114
lag(w, 2)	-0.0525764	0.0249418	-2.1080	0.03503 *

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Sargan Test: $\chi^2(36) = 36.91417$ (p.value=0.4264798)
Autocorrelation test (1): normal = -6.709587 (p.value=9.75886e-12)
Autocorrelation test (2): normal = 0.1986467 (p.value=0.4212696)
Wald test for coefficients: $\chi^2(4) = 234.7444$ (p.value=0)
Wald test for time dummies: $\chi^2(5) = 44.47645$ (p.value=1.853645e-08)

In the following example, a pure auto-regressive model is estimated.

```
> z <- pgmm(dynformula(n ~ 1, lag = list(c(1, 2))), effect = "twoways",
+ model = "twosteps", Snmesp, gmm.inst = ~n, lag.gmm = c(2,
+ 99), transformation = c("d"))
> summary(z)
```

Twoways effects Two steps model

Call:

```
pgmm(formula = dynformula(n ~ 1, lag = list(c(1, 2))), data = Snmesp,
effect = "twoways", model = "twosteps", gmm.inst = ~n, lag.gmm = c(2,
99), transformation = c("d"))
```

Balanced Panel: n=738, T=8, N=5904

Number of Observations Used: 3690

Residuals

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
-1.4530000	-0.0499300	-0.0002421	0.0000663	0.0520800	1.2020000

Coefficients

	Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	Pr(> z)
lag(n, 1)	0.747547	0.088270	8.4688	< 2e-16 ***
lag(n, 2)	0.037680	0.021952	1.7165	0.08607 .

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Sargan Test: $\chi^2(18) = 14.40912$ (p.value=0.7020586)
Autocorrelation test (1): normal = -5.947032 (p.value=1.365243e-09)
Autocorrelation test (2): normal = 0.2629247 (p.value=0.3963043)
Wald test for coefficients: $\chi^2(2) = 105.3612$ (p.value=0)
Wald test for time dummies: $\chi^2(5) = 59.15637$ (p.value=1.815559e-11)

2.5 General FGLS models

General FGLS estimators are based on a two-step estimation process: first an OLS model is estimated, then its residuals are used to estimate an error covariance matrix for use in a feasible-GLS analysis. Formally, the structure of the error covariance matrix is $V = I_N \otimes \Omega$, with symmetry being the only requisite for Ω : $\Omega(ij) = \Omega(ji)$ (see Wooldridge (2002), 10.4.3 and 10.5.5).

This framework allows the error covariance structure inside every group (if `effect="individual"`) of observations to be fully unrestricted and is therefore robust against any type of intragroup heteroskedasticity and serial correlation. This structure, by converse, is assumed identical across groups and thus `ggls` is inefficient under groupwise heteroskedasticity. Cross-sectional correlation is excluded a priori.

Moreover, the number of variance parameters to be estimated with NT data points is $T(T+1)/2$, which makes these estimators particularly suited for situations where $N \gg T$, as e.g. in labour or household income surveys, while problematic for "long" panels.

In a pooled time series context (`effect="time"`), symmetrically, this estimator is able to account for arbitrary cross-sectional correlation, provided that the latter is time-invariant (see Greene (2003) 13.9.1-2, p.321-2). In this case serial correlation has to be assumed away and the estimator is consistent with respect to the time dimension, keeping N fixed.

The function `pggls` estimates general FGLS models, with either fixed or "random" effects⁴.

The "random effect" general FGLS is estimated by

```
> zz <- pggls(log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + log(emp) + unemp,
+ data = Produc, model = "random")
> summary(zz)
```

Oneway (individual) effect Random effects model

Call:

```
pggls(formula = log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + log(emp) + unemp,
      data = Produc, model = "random")
```

Balanced Panel: n=48, T=17, N=816

Residuals

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
-0.255700	-0.070200	-0.014120	-0.008909	0.039120	0.455500

Coefficients

	Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	Pr(> z)
(intercept)	2.26388494	0.10077679	22.4643	< 2.2e-16 ***
log(pcap)	0.10566584	0.02004106	5.2725	1.346e-07 ***
log(pc)	0.21643137	0.01539471	14.0588	< 2.2e-16 ***
log(emp)	0.71293894	0.01863632	38.2553	< 2.2e-16 ***

⁴The "random effect" is better termed "general FGLS" model, as in fact it does not have a proper random effects structure, but we keep this terminology for consistency with `plm`.

```

unemp      -0.00447265  0.00045214 -9.8921 < 2.2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Total Sum of Squares: 849.81
Residual Sum of Squares: 7.5587
Multiple R-squared: 0.99111

```

The fixed effects `pggls` (see WOOLDRIDGE (2002, p.276)) is based on estimation of a within model in the first step; the rest follows as above. It is estimated by

The `pggls` function is similar to `plm` in many respects (e.g., Hausman tests may be carried out on `pggls` objects much the same way they are done on `plm` ones). An exception is that the estimate of the group covariance matrix of errors (`zz$sigma`, 17x17 matrix, not shown) is reported in the model objects instead of the usual estimated variances of the two error components.

3 Tests

3.1 Tests of poolability

`pooltest` tests the hypothesis that the same coefficients apply to each individual. It is a standard F test, based on the comparison of a model obtained for the full sample and a model based on the estimation of an equation for each individual. The main argument of `pooltest` is a `plms` or a `plm` object. The second argument is a `pvcn` object obtained with `model=within`. If the first argument is a `plms` object, a third argument `effect` should be fixed to `FALSE` if the intercepts are assumed to be identical (the default value) or `TRUE` if not⁵.

```

> form <- inv ~ value + capital
> znp <- pvcn(form, data = Grunfeld, model = "within")
> zplm <- plm(form, data = Grunfeld, model = "within")
> pooltest(zplm, znp)

      F statistic

data:  zplm and znp
F = 5.7805, df1 = 18, df2 = 170, p-value = 1.219e-10

> z <- plm(form, data = Grunfeld, effect = "time")
> znpt <- pvcn(form, data = Grunfeld, effect = "time", model = "within")
> pooltest(z, znpt)

      F statistic

data:  z and znpt
F = 1.2267, df1 = 48, df2 = 140, p-value = 0.1804

```

⁵The following examples are from BALTAGI (2001), pp. 57–58.

3.2 Tests for individual and time effects

3.2.1 Lagrange multiplier tests

`plmtest` implements tests of individual or/and time effects based on the results of the pooling model. Its main argument is a `plm` object (the result of a pooling model) or a `plms` object.

Two additional arguments can be added to indicate the kind of test to be computed. The argument `type` is whether :

- `bp` : BREUSCH-PAGAN (1980), the default value,
- `honda` : HONDA (1985),
- `kw` : KING and WU (1997).

The effects tested are indicated with the `effect` argument :

- `individual` for individual effects (the default value),
- `time` for time effects,
- `twoways` for individuals and time effects.

Some examples of the use of `plmtest` are shown below⁶:

```
> library(Ecdat)
> g <- plm(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld)
> plmtest(g)
```

Lagrange Multiplier Test - (Breusch-Pagan)

```
data: Grunfeld
chisq = 5.2632, df = 1, p-value = 0.02178
```

```
> plmtest(g, effect = "time")
```

Lagrange Multiplier Test - time effects (Breusch-Pagan)

```
data: Grunfeld
chisq = 0.0417, df = 1, p-value = 0.8381
```

```
> plmtest(g, type = "honda")
```

Lagrange Multiplier Test - (Honda)

```
data: Grunfeld
normal = -2.2942, p-value = 0.005445
```

```
> plmtest(g, type = "ghm", effect = "twoways")
```

⁶See BALTAGI (2001), p. 65.

Lagrange Multiplier Test - two-ways effects (Gourieroux, Holly and Monfort)

```
data: Grunfeld
chisq = 0.0417, df = 2, p-value = 0.9793

> plmtest(g, type = "kw", effect = "twoways")
```

Lagrange Multiplier Test - two-ways effects (King and Wu)

```
data: Grunfeld
normal = -1.774, df = 2, p-value = 0.03803
```

3.2.2 F tests

`pFtest` computes F tests of effects based on the comparison of the `within` and the `pooling` models. Its arguments are whether a `plms` object or two `plm` objects (the results of a `pooling` and a `within` model). Some examples of the use of `pFtest` are shown below⁷:

```
> library(Ecdat)
> gp <- plm(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "pooling")
> gw <- plm(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "within")
> gt <- plm(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "within",
+   effect = "time")
> gd <- plm(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "within",
+   effect = "twoways")
> pFtest(gw, gp)
```

F test for effects

```
data: gw and gp
F = 49.1766, df1 = 9, df2 = 188, p-value < 2.2e-16
```

```
> pFtest(gt, gp)
```

F test for effects

```
data: gt and gp
F = 0.5229, df1 = 9, df2 = 188, p-value = 0.8569
```

```
> pFtest(gd, gw)
```

F test for effects

```
data: gd and gw
F = 1.4032, df1 = 19, df2 = 169, p-value = 0.1309
```

⁷See BALTAGI (2001), p. 65.

3.3 Hausman's test

`phptest` computes the HAUSMAN's test which is based on the comparison of two models. It's main argument may be :

- a `plms` object. In this case, the two models used in the test are the `within` and the `random` models (the most usual case with panel data),
- two `plm` objects.

Some examples of the use of `phptest` are shown below ⁸:

```
> gw <- plm(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "within")
> gr <- plm(inv ~ value + capital, data = Grunfeld, model = "random")
> phptest(gw, gr)
```

Hausman Test

```
data: gw and gr
chisq = 2.3304, df = 2, p-value = 0.3119
```

3.4 Robust covariance matrix estimation

Robust estimators of the covariance matrix of coefficients are provided, mostly for use in Wald-type tests. `pvcovHC` estimates three "flavours" of White (1980, 1984)'s heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix (known as the *sandwich* estimator). Interestingly, in the context of panel data the most general version also proves consistent vs. serial correlation.

All types assume no correlation between errors of different groups while allowing for heteroskedasticity across groups, so that the full covariance matrix of errors is $V = I_n \otimes \Omega_i; i = 1, \dots, n$. As for the *intragroup* error covariance matrix of every single group of observations, "**white1**" allows for general heteroskedasticity but no serial correlation, i.e

$$\Omega_i = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{i1}^2 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{i2}^2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \sigma_{iT}^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

while "**white2**" is "**white1**" restricted to a common variance inside every group, estimated as $\sigma_i^2 = \sum_{t=1}^T e_{it}^2 / T$, so that $\Omega_i = I_T \otimes \sigma_i^2$ (see Greene (2003), 13.7.1-2 and Wooldridge (2003), 10.7.2); "**arellano**" (see *ibid.* and the original ref. Arellano (1987)) allows a fully general structure w.r.t. heteroskedasticity and serial correlation:

$$\Omega_i = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{i1}^2 & \sigma_{i1,i2} & \dots & \dots & \sigma_{i1,iT} \\ \sigma_{i2,i1} & \sigma_{i2}^2 & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \sigma_{iT-1}^2 & \sigma_{iT-1,iT} \\ \sigma_{iT,i1} & \dots & \dots & \sigma_{iT,iT-1} & \sigma_{iT}^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

⁸See BALTAGI (2001), p. 71.

The latter is, as already observed, consistent w.r.t. timewise correlation of the errors, but on the converse, unlike the White 1 and 2 methods, it relies on large N asymptotics with small T.

The errors may be weighted according to the schemes proposed by MacKinnon and White (1985) and Cribari-Neto (2004) to improve small-sample performance.

Main use of `pvcovHC` is together with testing functions from `lmtest` and `car` packages. These typically allow passing the `vcov` parameter to be either a matrix or a function (see Zeileis 2004). If one is happy with the defaults, it is easiest to pass the function itself:

```
> library(lmtest)
> data("Airline", package = "Ecdat")
> form <- log(cost) ~ log(output) + log(pf) + lf
> z <- plm(form, data = Airline, model = "within")
> coeftest(z, pvcovHC)

t test of coefficients:

              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
log(output)  0.919285   0.019105 48.1165 < 2.2e-16 ***
log(pf)      0.417492   0.013533 30.8507 < 2.2e-16 ***
lf           -1.070396   0.216620 -4.9413  4.11e-06 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

else one may do the covariance computation inside the call to `coeftest`, thus passing on a matrix:

```
> coeftest(z, pvcovHC(z, type = "white2", weights = "HC3"))

t test of coefficients:

              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
log(output)  0.919285   0.029021 31.6769 < 2.2e-16 ***
log(pf)      0.417492   0.014301 29.1928 < 2.2e-16 ***
lf           -1.070396   0.211686 -5.0565 2.605e-06 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

For some tests, e.g. for multiple model comparisons by `waldtest`, one should always provide a function⁹. In this case, optional parameters are provided as shown below (see also Zeileis, 2004, p.12):

```
> waldtest(z, update(z, . ~ . - log(pf) - lf), vcov = function(x) pvcovHC(x,
+   type = "white2", weights = "HC3"))
```

Wald test

⁹Joint zero-restriction testing still allows providing the `vcov` of the unrestricted model as a matrix, see the documentation of package `lmtest`

```

Model 1: log(cost) ~ log(output) + log(pf) + lf
Model 2: log(cost) ~ log(output)
      Res.Df Df    Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
1         81
2         83 -2 858.92  < 2.2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

      linear.hypothesis from package car may be used to test for linear restric-
tions:

> library(car)
> linear.hypothesis(zz, "2*log(pc)=log(emp)", vcov = pvcovHC)

Linear hypothesis test

Hypothesis:
2 log(pc) - log(emp) = 0

Model 1: log(gsp) ~ log(pcap) + log(pc) + log(emp) + unemp
Model 2: restricted model

Note: Coefficient covariance matrix supplied.

      Res.Df Df    Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
1         811
2         812 -1 34.484  4.297e-09 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

4 References

- Amemiya, T. (1971), The estimation of the variances in a variance-components model, *International Economic Review*, 12, pp.1–13.
- Arellano M. (1987), Computing robust standard errors for within group estimators, *Oxford bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, **49**, 431–434.
- Arellano, M. (2003), Panel data econometrics, Oxford University Press.
- Arellano M. and S. Bond (1991), Some tests of specification for panel data : monte carlo evidence and an application to employment equations, *Review of Economic Studies*, 58, pp.277–297.
- Balestra, P. and J. Varadharajan–Krishnakumar (1987), Full information estimations of a system of simultaneous equations with error components structure, *Econometric Theory*, 3, pp.223–246.
- Baltagi, B.H. (1981), Simultaneous equations with error components, *Journal of econometrics*, 17, pp.21–49.

Baltagi, B.H. (2001) *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*. John Wiley and sons. ltd.

Breusch, T.S. and A.R. Pagan (1980), The Lagrange multiplier test and its applications to model specification in econometrics, *Review of Economic Studies*, 47, pp.239–253.

Cribari-Neto F. (2004), Asymptotic inference under heteroskedasticity of unknown form. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **45**, 215–233.

Gourieroux, C., A. Holly and A. Monfort (1982), Likelihood ratio test, Wald test, and Kuhn–Tucker test in linear models with inequality constraints on the regression parameters, *Econometrica*, 50, pp.63–80.

Greene W. H. (2003), *Econometric Analysis*, 5th ed. Prentice Hall.

Hausman, G. (1978), Specification tests in econometrics, *Econometrica*, 46, pp.1251–1271.

Hausman, J.A. and W.E. Taylor (1981), Panel data and unobservable individual effects, *Econometrica*, 49, pp.1377–1398.

Honda, Y. (1985), Testing the error components model with non-normal disturbances, *Review of Economic Studies*, 52, pp.681–690.

King, M.L. and P.X. Wu (1997), Locally optimal one-sided tests for multiparameter hypotheses, *Econometric Reviews*, 33, pp.523–529.

MacKinnon J. G., White H. (1985), Some heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimators with improved finite sample properties. *Journal of Econometrics* **29**, 305–325.

Nerlove, M. (1971), Further evidence on the estimation of dynamic economic relations from a time-series of cross-sections, *Econometrica*, 39, pp.359–382.

Swamy, P.A.V.B. (1970), Efficient inference in a random coefficient regression model, *Econometrica*, 38, pp.311–323.

Swamy, P.A.V.B. and S.S. Arora (1972), The exact finite sample properties of the estimators of coefficients in the error components regression models, *Econometrica*, 40, pp.261–275.

Wallace, T.D. and A. Hussain (1969), The use of error components models in combining cross section with time series data, *Econometrica*, 37(1), pp.55–72.

White H. (1980), *Asymptotic Theory for Econometricians*, Ch. 6, Academic Press, Orlando (FL).

White H. (1984), A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica* **48**, 817–838.

Wooldridge J. M. (2003), *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*, MIT Press

Zeileis A. (2004), Econometric Computing with HC and HAC Covariance Matrix Estimators. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **11**(10), 1–17.

URL <http://http://www.jstatsoft.org/v11/i10/>.